No. ID/FD/167/82/49789.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Prayag Bhagat and the management of the M/s Janter Enterprises 12/2, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Prayag Bhagat was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/159/82/49796.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Dev and the management of the M/s Puja Interprises Pvt. Ltd., 14/5, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad consituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication —

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Dev was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/143/82/49824.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Suresh Ghatwar and the management of M/s Cast-E-Cula, Plot No. 108, Sector 6, Faridabad, regarding the matters hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Suresh Ghatwar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/164/82/49831:—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist, between the workman Shri Savanaroi and the management of M/s. Parag Restaurant (Dun Hill Nehru Ground, N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Di sputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted, under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Savanaroi was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?